DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

1416 NINTH STREET, P.O. BOX 942836 SACRAMENTO, CA 94236-0001 (916) 653-5791

September 15, 2014

Ms. Tracy Hemmeter Santa Clara Valley Water District 5750 Almaden Expressway San Jose, California 95118



Pajaro River Watershed Integrated Regional Water Management Plan Final Review

Dear Ms. Hemmeter:

This letter transmits the Department of Water Resources (DWR) final review of the Pajaro River Watershed Integrated Regional Water Management (IRWM) Plan. The public comment period on DWR's review of the Pajaro River Watershed IRWM Plan has closed and no public comments were received. DWR has determined that the Pajaro River Watershed IRWM Plan is consistent with the IRWM Planning Act and the related IRWM Plan Standards contained in the 2012 IRWM Program Guidelines. The final review is posted on the following link: http://www.water.ca.gov/irwm/grants/prp.cfm.

If you have any questions, please contact Craig Cross at (916) 651-9204 or Craig.Cross@water.ca.gov

Sincerely,

Tracie L. Billington, P.E. Chief

Fracio & Box

Financial Assistance Branch

Division of Integrated Regional Water Management

INTRODUCTION

IRWM planning regions must have an IRWM Plan that has been reviewed and deemed consistent with the 2012 IRWM Plan Standards by DWR for eligibilty to receiving Round 3 Proposition 84 funding. This 2012 IRWM Plan Standards Review Form for DWR staff use provides a consistent means in determining whether the 2012 IRWM Guidelines are being addressed in the IRWM Plan. It is part of the Plan Review Process that will begin prior to Round 3 solicitation. The form is similar to a grant application review form in that there is a checklist for each of the 16 Plan Standards and narrative evaluations where required. However, the evaluation is pass/fail; there is no numeric scoring. Each Plan Standard is either sufficient or not based on its associated requirements. Each Standard consists of between one and fourteen requirements. A Yes or No is automatically calculated in each Plan Standard header based on the individual requirement evaluations. In general, a passing score of "C" (i.e. 70% of the requirements for a given Plan Standard) is required for a Standard to pass. Standards with only one or 2 requirements will need one or both of those requirements to pass. Standards with 3 requirements must be met in order to be considered consistent with plan standards. A summary of the sufficiency of each Standard is automatically calculated on the Standards Summary worksheet. A "No" evaluation indicates that a Standard was not met due to insufficient requirements comprising the Standard. The evaluation for each Plan Standard and any associated insufficiencies is automatically compiled on the Standards Summary page. Additional reviewer comments may be added at the bottom of each standards work sheet.

Note: This review form is meant to be a tool used in conjunction with the 2012 IRWM Guidelines document to assist in the evaluation of IRWM plans. It is not designed to be a substitute for the Guidelines document itself. Reviewers must use the Guidelines in determining plan consistency.

DEFINITION OF TABLE HEADINGS

IRWM Plan Standard: As named in the November 2012 IRWM Prop 84 and 1E Guidlelines.

This field is either "YES" or "NO" and is automatically calculated based on the "Sufficient" column described below. If all fields

Overall Standard Sufficient: are "y", the the overall standard is deemed sufficient. Any entry other than a "y" in the Sufficient column (i.e. "n", ?, not sure,

more detail needed, etc.) results in a NO.

Plan Standard Requirements Which Must Be Addressed Fields with an asterisk * are required by legislation to be included in an IRWM Plan.

Requirement	Requirements are taken directly from the November 2012 Guidelines.						
	Is the Guideline Requirement included in the IRWM Plan? The options are: y = yes, requirement is included in the IRWMP; or						
Included	n = no, requirement is not included in the IRWMP. If only y or n then presence/absence of the requirement is sufficient for						
included	evaluation. If there is a "q" (qualitative) then add a brief narrative, similar to a Grant Application Review public evaluation or						
	supporting information.						
Plan Standard Source							
2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines	Description to Critical in a (Newspaper 2002) which provides to the Description						
Source Page(s)	Page(s) in the Guidelines (November 2012) which pertain to the Requirement.						
Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	The CWC or other regulations that pertain to the Requirement, if applicable. This is for reference purposes. The cell links to a						
Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	weblink of the regulatory code.						
Evidence of Sufficiency							
Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	The page(s) or sections in the IRWM Plan where information on the Requirement can be found. This can be specific						
Location of Standard in Grantee IRWW Plan	paragraphs or entire chapters for more general requirements.						
	Supporting information for the Requirement if a "q" is in the Included column. This can be just a few sentences or a paragraph						
Brief Qualitative Evaluation Narrative	and can be taken directly from the IRWM Plan. Comments or supporting information may be entered regardless of whether						
	required.						
Sufficient	Is the Guidelines requirement sufficiently represented in the IRWM Plan (y/n).						

2012 IRWM Plan Standards Review Form

Regional Acceptance Process Planning Region: Pajaro

Regional Water Management Group: Pajaro River Watershed Collaborative IRWM Plan Title: Pajaro River Watershed IRWMP

PLAN IS SUFFICIENT

IRWM Plan Standard	Overall Standard Sufficient	Requirement(s) Insufficient
<u>Governance</u>	Yes	
Region Description	Yes	
<u>Objectives</u>	Yes	
Resource Management Strategies	Yes	
Integration *	Yes	
Project Review Process	Yes	
Impact and Benefit	Yes	
Plan Performance and Monitoring	Yes	
Data Management	Yes	
Finance	Yes	
Technical Analysis	Yes	
Relation to Local Water Planning	Yes	
Relation to Local Land Use Planning	Yes	
Stakeholder Involvement	Yes	
<u>Coordination</u>	Yes	
Climate Change	Yes	

^{*} If not included as an individual section use Governance, Project Review Process, and Data Management Standards per November 2012 Guidelines, p. 44.

Additional Comments:

While deemed consistent with the 2012 Guidelines Plan Standards, DWR recommends that the following be addressed in future IRWM Plan updates: **Project Review Process:** Section 1.4 states that project sponsors must adopt the plan but the project review process does not consider the status of the project proponent's IRWM plan adoption. **Data Management:** (1) Section 7.2 states that (all) projects implemented as part of the Pajaro Region IRWM Program will be required to develop project-specific monitoring plans prior to or in conjunction with project implementation. However, Section 8.1.1.1 states that data collection, tracking, and dissemination will be conducted for all projects implemented with State grant funding, and strongly encouraged for all (other) projects included in the IRWM plan. Need clarification on this topic since this last section leads the reader to believe only State funded projects will be monitored. (2) The plan does not describe or provide information on the QA/QC measures that will be used for data submitted to the DMS. **Finance:** (1) The plan does not address the certainty and longevity for ongoing funding of the IRWM Plan and for projects that implement the Plan. (2) The plan does not address the certainty and longevity of O&M costs for projects that implement the Plan.

IRWM Plan Standard: Governance	•					Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes
Requirement	Incl	uded	Plan Stand	lard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	Present in t	sent/Not the IRWMP. qualitative on needed.	2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Regulatory and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Document a governance structure to ensure upda	tes to the IR	WM Plan			•		
The name of the RWMG responsible for implementation of the IRWMP	y/n	у	18/35	- CWC §10539	Sec. 1.1	Pajaro River Watershed Collaborative (Collaborative)	у
A description of the IRWM governance structure	y/n	У	19/36	<u>cwc 310333</u>	Sec. 1.2		у
A description of how the chosen form of governan	ce addresses	and ensures	s:	•	•		•
Public outreach and involvement processes	y/n/q	У	19/36-37		Section 1.2, 1.3.1, 1.3.3, 12.3.2, 12.7, 12.8	Section 1.2 describes and lists all the stakeholders and participants involved in developing the IRWM plan. Section 1.3.1, 1.3.3, & 12.3.2 describes the stakeholder involvement and outreach processes used. Section 12.7 & 12.8 describe DAC and Tribal involvement.	у
Effective decision making	y/n/q	У	19/37		Section 1.2, 1.3.5	All the RWMG's decisions are made by consensus. The decisions are informed by input from the Stakeholder Steering Committee (SSC), Project Sponsors, general stakeholders, and the RWMG's Boards' policies.	у
Balanced access and opportunity for participation in the IRWM process	y/n/q	у	19/37		Section 1.3.2, 1.2, & Chapter 12	The primary method for participation in the IRWM process is through the SSC. Other opportunities for participation in the IRWM process include being a Project Sponsor, signing up for the general stakeholder list, and participating in SSC subcommittees. Section 1.2 describes roles for stakeholders in the governance and decision making process. Chapter 12 describes all stakeholder outreach and involvement.	У
Effective communication – both internal and external to the IRWM region	y/n/q	У	19/37-38		Section 1.3.3, 1.3.4, 12.3, 12.4, 12.6	Section 1.3.3, 12.3, & 12.6 describes the internal communication methods used, and Section 1.3.4 & 12.4 describes communication with surrounding IRWM regions, and state and federal agencies.	у
Long term implementation of the IRWM Plan	y/n/q	У	19/38	§10540, §10541	Section 1.3.6 & 1.4	The document demonstrates significant collaboration and coordination between stakeholders for water management planning and implementation activities, over a historically long period of time. Section 1.4 states that the planning horizon is for 20 years.	
Coordination with neighboring IRWM efforts and State and federal agencies	y/n/q	У	19/38		Section 1.3.4 & 2.1.5	Sections 1.3.4 & 2.1.5 describe the coordination with all surrounding IRWM regions, and with state and federal agencies.	У

IRWM Plan Standard: Governance	!	Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes				
Requirement	Included		Included Plan Standard Source			Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s) Regulatory and/or Other Citations		Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	
The collaborative process(es) used to establish plan objectives	y/n/q	У	19/38		Section 1.2.2, 1.3.3, 1.5, 3.1, 12.2, 12.5	A consensus-based approach was used to develop the Pajaro River Watershed IRWM goals and objectives. The RWMG reviewed the goals and objectives, presented proposed revised goals and objectives to the SSC, met with the SSC to obtain input on the goals and objectives, reviewed SSC input, and incorporated all the SSC input into the goals and objectives.	У
How interim changes and formal changes to the IRWM Plan will be performed	y/n/q	У	19/38		Section 1.4	Informal changes to IRWM Plan include adding information on the results of special studies such as salt and nutrient management plans, updating the project list, utilization of improved data management tools and techniques, additional financing options, and changes to the SSC membership list. These information changes will be approved by the RWMG without formal adoption.	У
Updating or amending the IRWM Plan	y/n/q	У	19/38		Section 1.4	Section 1.4 states the process for updating or amending the plan, and commits to a plan review at a minimum of every five years.	У
Publish NOI to prepare/update the plan; adopt the plan in a public meeting	y/n/q	у	35	CWC §10543	Section 1.4	Section 1.4 states that "Upon the completion of the IRWM Plan, the RWMG will publish a notice of intention to adopt the Plan in accordance with §6066 of the Government Code and shall adopt the Plan at a public meeting of the RWMG."	У

IRWM Plan Standard: Region Desc	cription					Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes
Requirement	Incl	uded	Plan Stand	dard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
If applicable, describe and explain how the plan will help reduce dependence on the Delta supply regionally	y/n	У	20		Sec 2.5.3 & 2.5.5		у
Describe watersheds and water systems	y/n	У	19/39	PRC §75026.(b)(1) and CWP Update 2009	Section 2.5, 2.6		У
Describe internal boundaries	y/n	У	19/39		Section 2.2 & 2.3		У
Describe water supplies and demands for minimum 20 year planning horizon	y/n	У	19/39		Section 2.4, 2.5		У
Describe water quality conditions	y/n	n	19/40		Section 2.5		У
Describe social and cultural makeup, including specific information on DACs and tribal communities in the region and their water challenges.	y/n/q	У	19/40		Section 2.7, 2.8, 2.9, 12.7, 12.8	Sections 2.7-2.9 address the social and cultural makeup for the region, including DACs and tribes. Sections 12.7 & 12.8 describe the DAC and tribal water challenges.	у
Describe major water related objectives and conflicts *	y/n/q	у	19/40	§10541. (e)(3)	Section 2.5, Chapter 3	Section 2.5 describes the major water related issues facing the region and Sections 3.1 & 3.2 describe the plan objectives to resolve these conflicts.	у
Explain how IRWM regional boundary was determined and why region is an appropriate area for IRWM planning.	y/n/q	у	19/40		Section 2.1	The regional boundary is based on the Pajaro River Watershed boundary, and on the water-related mutual needs and shared resources that link the region.	у
Describe neighboring and/or overlapping IRWM efforts	y/n	у	19/40		Section 2.1.5	Section 2.1.5 describes the surrounding IRWM regions and areas of mutual interest and coordination.	У
Explain how opportunities are maximized (e.g. people at the table, natural features, infrastructure) for integration of water management activities	y/n	у	38		Section 1.2, 6.1, 12.3 12.4, 12.6		у

^{*} Requirement must be addressed.

IRWM Plan Standard: Objectives						Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes		
Requirement	Incl	uded	Plan Stand	lard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient		
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative 2012 IRWM Grai Program Guidelin Source Page(s)		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Qualitative Narrative	y/n
Through the objectives or other areas of the plan, the 7 items on pg 41 of GL are addressed.*	y/n	У	20/40 - 41	§10540.(c)	Section 3.1		У		
Describe the collaborative process and tools used to establish objectives: - How the objectives were developed - What information was considered (i.e., water management or local land use plans, etc.) - What groups were involved in the process - How the final decision was made and accepted by the IRWM effort	y/n	у	20/41		Section 3.1, 3.2, 1.2.1, 1.2.2, & Chapter 12		У		
Identify quantitative or qualitative metrics and measureable objectives: Objectives must be measurable - there must be some metric the IRWM region can use to determine if the objective is being met as the IRWM Plan is implemented. Neither quantitative nor qualitative metrics are considered inherently better. *	y/n/q	у	20/41 - 42	<u>10541.(e)</u>	Section 3.3	Table 3-1 lists the goals & objectives followed by the quantitative or qualitative metrics used to determine if the objective is being met as implementation progresses.	У		
Explain how objectives are prioritized or reason why the objectives are not prioritized	y/n/q	у	20/42-43		Section 3.2	The objectives are prioritized. However, Section 3.2 states "All the goals and objectives are important to the region. Thus, the prioritization is relative rather than an absolute determination of importance."	у		
Reference specific overall goals for the region: RWMGs may choose to use goals as an additional layer for organizing and prioritizing objectives, or they may choose to not use the term at all.	y/n	У	43		Section 3.1		У		

^{*} Requirement must be addressed.

IRWM Plan Standard: Resource Managen	nent Stra	tegies (F	RMS)			Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes
Requirement	Inclu	ıded	Plan Star	ndard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines			Program Guidelines	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Identify RMS incorporated in the IRWM Plan: Consider all California Water Plan (CWP) RMS criteria (29) listed in Table 3 from the CWP Update 2009 *	y/n	У	20/43	CWP Update 2009 Volume II; 10541(e)(1)	Section 4.1-4.34, & Table 4-2		У
Consideration of climate change effects on the IRWM region must be factored into RMS	y/n	У	20/43		Section 4.35		У
Address which RMS will be implemented in achieving IRWM Plan Objectives	y/n	у	44		Section 4.34 & Table 4-2		у

^{*} Requirement must be addressed.

IRWM Plan Standard: Integration						Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes
Requirement	Incl	Included Plan Standard Source				Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Pre Present in t If y/n/q q evaluatio	the IRWMP. ualitative	2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Contains structure and processes for developing and fostering integration ¹ : - Stakeholder/institutional - Resource - Project implementation	y/n/q	У	20/44 - 45	§10540.(g); §10541.(h)(2)		All of these sections describe stakeholder, institutional, resource, and project integration.	У

^{1.} If not included as an individual section use Governance, Project Review Process, and Data Management Standards per November 2012 Guidelines, p. 44.

IRWM Plan Standard: Project Revi	ew Proc	ess			Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes	
Requirement	Inc	luded	Plan Stand	lard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	Present in If y/n/q	esent/Not the IRWMP. qualitative on needed.	2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Regulatory and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Process for projects included in IRWM plan must address 3 components: - procedures for submitting projects - procedures for reviewing projects - procedures for communicating lists of selected projects	y/n	У	20/45		Section 5.2, 5.3, 5.4		У
Does the project review process in the plan							
incorporate the following factors: How a project contributes to plan objectives	y/n	у	20		Section 5.3, Table 5-3, & Appendix B		у
How a project is related to Resource Management Strategies identified in the plan.	y/n	У	20		Section 5.3, Table 5-3, & Appendix B		у
The technical feasibility of a project.	y/n	у	20		Section 5.3, Table 5-3, & Appendix B		у
A projects specific benefits to a DAC water issue.	y/n	у	20		Section 5.3, Table 5-3, & Appendix B		у
Environmental Justice considerations.	y/n	у	20	<u>§75028.(a)</u>	Section 5.3, Table 5-3, & Appendix B		у
Project costs and financing	y/n	У	20		Section 5.3, Table 5-3, &		У
Address economic feasibility	y/n	У	21		Appendix R Section 5.3, Table 5-3, & Appendix B		У
Project status	y/n	у	21		Appendix B Section 5.3, Table 5-3, &		у
Strategic implementation of plan and project merit	y/n	у	21/48		Appendix B Section 5.3, Table 5-3, & Appendix B		у
Project's contribution to climate change adaptation	y/n	У	21		Section 5.3, Table 5-3, & Appendix B		У
Contribution of project in reducing GHGs compared to project alternatives	y/n	У	21		Section 5.3, Table 5-3, & Appendix B		У
Status of the Project Proponent's IRWM plan adoption	y/n	N	21			Section 1.4 states that project sponsors must adopt the plan but the project review process does not consider the status of the project proponent's IRWM plan adoption.	N
Project's contribution to reducing dependence on Delta supply (for IRWM regions receiving water from the Delta).	y/n	У	21		Section 5.2, Table 5-1		у

IRWM Plan Standard: Impact and Bend	efit					Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes
Requirement	Incl	uded	Plan Stand	lard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	Present in t	sent/Not the IRWMP. ualitative n needed.	2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Discuss potential impacts and benefits of plan implementation within IRWM region, between regions, with DAC/EJ concerns and Native American Tribal communities	y/n	У	21		Section 6.1, 6.2, 6.3, 12.7, 12.8		У
State when a more detailed project-specific impact and benefit analysis will occur (prior to any implementation activity)	y/n	У	49		Chapter 6 Introduction		у
Review and update the impacts and benefits section of the plan as part of the normal plan management activities	y/n	у	50		Chapter 6 Introduction		у

IRWM Plan Standard: Plan Performand	WM Plan Standard: Plan Performance and Monitoring										
Requirement	Incl	uded	Plan Stand	lard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient				
From IRWM Guidelines	Present in t	ualitative	2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n				
Contain performance measures and monitoring methods to ensure that IRWM objectives are met *	y/n	у	21/53	PRC §75026.(a)	Section 7.1, 7.2, 7.3		У				
Contain a methodology that the RWMG will use to oversee and evaluate implementation of projects.	y/n	у	21/53	1 NC 37 3020.(a)	Section 7.2, 7.3, 8.1.1		У				

^{*} Requirement must be addressed.

IRWM Plan Standard: Data Manag	ement					Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes
Requirement	Incl	ıded	Plan Stand	lard Source	Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient	
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Regulatory and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Describe data needs within the IRWM region	y/n	у	54		Section 8.1, 8.3, 10.3		у
Describe typical data collection techniques	у/п	N	54		Section 7.1 & 8.1	Section 7.2 states that (all) projects implemented as part of the Pajaro Region IRWM Program will be required to develop project-specific monitoring plans prior to or in conjunction with project implementation. However, Section 8.1.1.1 states that data collection, tracking, and dissemination will be conducted for all projects implemented with State grant funding, and strongly encouraged for all (other) projects included in the IRWM plan. Need clarification on this topic since this last section leads the reader to believe only State funded projects will be monitored.	N
Describe stakeholder contributions of data to a data management system	y/n	У	54		Section 7.2, 8.1.1, 8.1.4		У
Describe the entity responsible for maintaining data in the data management system	y/n	У	54		Section 8.1.1.3, 8.1.4		у
Describe the QA/QC measures for data	y/n	N	54			The plan does not describe or provide information on the QA/QC measures that will be used for data submitted to the DMS.	N
Explain how data collected will be transferred or shared between members of the RWMG and other interested parties throughout the IRWM region, including local, State, and federal agencies *	y/n	У	54		Section 8.1.2, 8.1.3, 8.1.4, 8.2		У
Explain how the Data Management System supports the RWMG's efforts to share collected data	y/n	у	54		Section 8.1, 8.2		у
Outline how data saved in the data management system will be distributed and remain compatible with State databases including CEDEN, Water Data Library (WDL), CASGEM, California Environmental Information Catalog (CEIC), and the California Environmental Resources Evaluation System (CERES).	у/п	n	54		Section 8.2		у

^{*} Requirement must be addressed.

IRWM Plan Standard: Finance						Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes
Requirement	Included		Plan Stand	lard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	Present in t	sent/Not the IRWMP. Jualitative n needed.	2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Include a programmatic level (i.e. general) plan for implementation and financing of identified projects and programs* including the following:	y/n	у	21		Chapter 9		у
List known, as well as, possible funding sources, programs, and grant opportunities for the development and ongoing funding of the IRWM Plan.	y/n	У	21		Section 9.2, 9.3, 9.4, 9.5		у
List the funding mechanisms, including water enterprise funds, rate structures, and private financing options, for projects that implement the IRWM Plan.	y/n	у	21	§10541.(e)(8)	Section 9.5		у
An explanation of the certainty and longevity of known or potential funding for the IRWM Plan and projects that implement the Plan.	y/n	N	21			The plan does not address the certainty and longevity for ongoing funding of the IRWM Plan and for projects that implement the Plan.	N
An explanation of how operation and maintenance (O&M) costs for projects that implement the IRWM Plan would be covered and the certainty of operation and maintenance funding.	y/n	N	21			The plan does not address the certainty and longevity of O&M costs for projects that implement the Plan.	N

^{*} Requirement must be addressed.

IRWM Plan Standard: Technical Analysis	Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes					
Requirement	Incl	uded	Plan Star	ndard Source	Evidence of Sufficiency		Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	Present in t	sent/Not the IRWMP. Jualitative n needed.	2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Document the data and technical analyses that were used in the development of the plan $\mbox{*}$	y/n	у	22		Chapter 10 & Table 10-1		У

^{*} Requirement must be addressed.

IRWM Plan Standard: Relation to Local V	Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes					
Requirement	Incl	uded	Plan Star	ndard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	ntee IRWM Brief Evaluation Narrative	
Identify a list of local water plans used in the IRWM plan	y/n	У	22		Chapter 10, Section 11.1.1		у
Discuss how the plan relates to these other planning documents and programs	y/n	У	22		Section 11.1.2, 11.1.3		У
Describe the dynamics between the IRWM plan and other planning documents	y/n	У	22	<u>§10540.(b)</u>	Section 11.1.2, 11.1.3, 11.3		У
Describe how the RWMG will coordinate its water mgmt planning activities	y/n	У	58		Section 11.1.3, 11.3		У

IRWM Plan Standard: Relation to Local La	Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes					
Requirement	Inclu	uded	Plan Star	ndard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Pre Present in t If y/n/q q evaluatio	the IRWMP. ualitative	2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Document current relationship between local land use planning, regional water issues, and water management objectives	y/n	у	22/59 - 62		Chapter 10, Section 11.2		У
Document future plans to further a collaborative, proactive relationship between land use planners and water managers	y/n	У	22/59 - 62		Section 11.2.2, 11.2.3, 11.3		у

IRWM Plan Standard: Stakeholder Involve	Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes					
Requirement	Included		Plan Star	ndard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	Present in t	sent/Not the IRWMP. qualitative n needed.	2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Contain a public process that provides outreach and opportunity to participate in the IRWM plan *	y/n	у	22/63	§10541.(g)	Section 1.2, 12.1, 12.2, 12.3		У
Identify process to involve and facilitate stakeholders during development and implementation of plan regardless of ability to pay; include barriers to involvement *	y/n	Y	64	§10541.(h) (2)	Section 1.2, 12.1, 12.2, 12.3		Y
Discuss involvement of DACs and tribal communities in the IRWM planning effort	y/n	у	23		Section 12.7, 12.8		у
Describe decision-making process and roles that stakeholders can occupy	y/n	у	23		Section 1.3, 12.3		У
Discuss how stakeholders are necessary to address objectives and RMS	y/n	n	23		Section 1.2, 3.2, Chapter 12 Intro		У
Discuss how a collaborative process will engage a balance in interest groups	y/n	у	23		Section 1.3, 3.2, Chapter 12 Intro		У

^{*} Requirement must be addressed.

IRWM Plan Standard: Coordination	Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes					
Requirement	Included		Plan Stan	dard Source	Evidence of Sufficiency		Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Identify the process to coordinate water management projects and activities of participating local agencies and stakeholders to avoid conflicts and take advantage of efficiencies *	y/n	У	23/65	§10541.(e)(13)	Section 1.2, 1.3, 12.2, 12.4, 13.1		у
Identify neighboring IRWM efforts and ways to cooperate or coordinate, and a discussion of any ongoing water management conflicts with adjacent IRWM efforts	y/n	У	23/65	-	Section 2.1.5, 13.2		у
Identify areas where a state agency or other agencies may be able to assist in communication or cooperation, or implementation of IRWM Plan components, processes, and projects, or where State or federal regulatory decisions are required before implementing the projects.	y/n	У	23	-	Section 13.3, 13.4		У

^{*} Requirement must be addressed.

IRWM Plan Standard: Climate Change	Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes					
Requirement	Included y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		Plan Star	ndard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines			2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Evaluate IRWM region's vulnerabilities to climate change and potential adaptation responses based on vulnerabilities assessment in the DWR Climate Change Handbook for Regional Water Planning *	y/n	У	23/66 - 73	Climate Change	Section 4.35, 14.3, 14.4, 14.5		У
Provide a process that considers GHG emissions when choosing between project alternatives *	y/n	У	23/68	Handbook vulnerability assessment: http://www.water.ca.g ov/climatechange/CCH	Section 5.3, 14.6		У
Include a list of prioritized vulnerabilities based on the vulnerability assessment and the IRWM's decision making process.	y/n	У	23/66 - 73	andbook.cfm; November 2012 Guidelines Legislative and Policy Context, p.	Section 14.4		у
Contain a plan, program, or methodology for further data gathering and analysis of prioritized vulnerabilities	y/n	У	23/66 - 73	66 §10541.(e)(11)	Section 7.2, 7.3, 8.1, 8.3 14.6		у
Include climate change as part of the project review process	y/n	у	23/68		Section 5.3, 14.6		У

^{*} Requirement must be addressed.

Regulatory Citation	Link	Notes
IRWM Prop 84 and 1E Guidelines	http://www.water.ca.gov/irwm/grants/docs/Guidelines/GL_2012_FI_NAL.pdf	DWR November 2012 Guidelines - Final
CWC §10539	http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi- bin/displaycode?section=wat&group=10001-11000&file=10532- 10539	
CWC §10540, §10541	http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi- bin/displaycode?section=wat&group=10001-11000&file=10540- 10543	
CWC §10543	http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi- bin/displaycode?section=wat&group=10001-11000&file=10540- 10543	
PRC §75026, §75028, CWP Update 2009, and California Watershed Portal	http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi- bin/displaycode?section=prc&group=75001-76000&file=75020- 75029.5 http://www.waterplan.water.ca.gov/cwpu2009/index.cfm http://www.conservation.ca.gov/dlrp/watershedportal/Pages/Index.aspx	The Department of Water Resources shall give preference to proposals that satisfy the criteria specified in PRC §75026.(b)(1). §75028.(a) - the department shall defer to approved local project selection, and review projects only for consistency with the purposes of Section 75026. 2009 California Water Plan Volumes I and II California Watershed Portal
§10541. (e)(3)	http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi- bin/displaycode?section=wat&group=10001-11000&file=10540- 10543	